UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

LISA MENNINGER,)
Plaintiff,
v. Civil No. 19-11441-LTS
PPD DEVELOPMENT, L.P.,
Defendant.)
aftedesposit after
SPECIAL VERDICT QUESTIONS FOR THE JURY
Question 1: Discrimination—Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodation Claim
Question 1. Did Dr. Menninger prove by a preponderance of the evidence that PPD
unlawfully discriminated against her by failing to provide her with a reasonable
accommodation?
YES: NO:
Please proceed to Question 2A.
Question 2: Discrimination—Disparate Treatment/Adverse Employment Action Claim
Question 2A. Did Dr. Menninger prove by a preponderance of the evidence that PPD
unlawfully discriminated against her by taking an adverse employment action against he
under federal law (applying the federal "but-for" causation standard)?
YES: NO:
Please proceed to Question 2B.

Question 2B. Did Dr. Menninger prove by a preponderance of the evi	dence that PPD
unlawfully discriminated against her by taking an adverse employmen	nt action against her
under Massachusetts law (applying the Massachusetts "determinative	cause" causation
standard)?	
YES: NO:	
Please proceed to Question 3A.	
Question 3: Retaliation Claim	
Question 3A. Did Dr. Menninger prove by a preponderance of the ev	idence that PPD
unlawfully retaliated against her under <u>federal law</u> (applying the fede standard)?	ral "but-for" causation
YES: NO:	
Please proceed to Question 3B.	
Question 3B. Did Dr. Menninger prove by a preponderance of the ev	idence that PPD
unlawfully retaliated against her under Massachusetts law (applying	the Massachusetts
"determinative cause" causation standard)?	
YES: NO:	
Please proceed to Question 4.	

Question 4: Damages

If your answer was "yes" to <u>any</u> of Questions 1-3B above, please proceed to Question 4A.

If your answer was "no" to <u>all</u> of Questions 1-3B above, please skip Questions 4A-4E and proceed to Certification.

Question 4A. Enter below the amount of "back pay," if any, that Dr. Menninger proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she lost because of PPD's disability discrimination and/or retaliation.

\$ 1565,000 (amount expressed in numbers);

One Millian five hundred Sixty five thousand (amount expressed in words)

Please proceed to Question 4B.

Question 4B. Enter below the amount of "front pay," if any, that Dr. Menninger proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she will lose because of PPD's disability discrimination and/or retaliation.

\$ <u>5</u>, <u>465</u>, <u>000</u>, <u>60</u> (amount expressed in numbers);

Five million four hundred sixty five thansand (amount expressed in words)

Please proceed to Question 4C.

Question 4C. Enter below the amount of damages for "emotional distress," if any, that Dr.
Menninger proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she suffered up to today because
of PPD's disability discrimination and/or retaliation.
\$ 5,000,000,00 (amount expressed in numbers);
Five million (amount expressed in words)
Please proceed to Question 4D.
Question 4D. Enter below the amount of damages for "emotional distress," if any, that Dr.
Menninger proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she is reasonably likely to suffer
in the future because of PPD's disability discrimination and/or retaliation.
\$ 2,60,066. 60 (amount expressed in numbers);
Two million (amount expressed in words)
Please proceed to Question 4E.
Question 4E. Do you find that "punitive damages" are warranted against PPD?
YES: NO:
If you answered "yes" to Question 4E, please proceed to Question 4F.
If you answered "no" to Question 4E, please skip Question 4F and proceed to

Certification.

Question 4F.	Enter below the	amount of "	punitive dama	ges" that you	award to Dr.
Menninger.					

\$ 10,000,000 (amount expressed in numbers);

Ten million (amount expressed in words)

Proceed to Certification.

Certification

I hereby certify the foregoing answers are the unanimous answers of the jury.

Jury Foreperson

Dated: